

MINUTES

**TEHACHAPI-CUMMINGS COUNTY WATER DISTRICT
REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS
October 17, 2018 3:00 P.M.
22901 Banducci Road, Tehachapi, CA 93561**

Item 1. Call to Order and Roll Call

Directors Present: Hall, Prel, Worden, and Zanutto. Director Pack arrived at 3:04 p.m.

Staff in Attendance: Catherine Adams, Troy DePriest, LaMinda Madenwald and Tom Neisler

Item 2. Flag Salute

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by President Zanutto.

Item 3. Approval of Agenda

The agenda was approved on a motion made by Director Hall, seconded by Director Worden and carried on by the following vote: Ayes: Hall, Prel, Worden, Zanutto; Noes: None; Abstain: None; Absent: Pack.

Item 4. Comments by any Party on Items of Interest and Within the Subject Matter Jurisdiction of the Legislative Body

There were no comments.

Item 5. Consent Calendar - Consent items are considered routine and are intended to be acted upon as a single item, without discussion. During this portion of the meeting, the Consent Calendar will be read aloud. Prior to approval, the President will give the Board the opportunity to remove any item from the Consent Calendar to be discussed and voted on individually. The President will also give staff and the public the opportunity to request any item be discussed individually, in which case the President will determine whether the item will be removed from the Consent Calendar. The remaining calendar will be acted upon. Any removed items will then be heard and acted upon individually.

a. Approve Minutes of the Regular Board Meeting of September 19, 2018

b. Approve Financial Report and Payment of Bills

Director Worden removed Item b. There being no objections, Item a. was adopted.

Director Worden requested an explanation for check number 4380. Mr. Neisler responded that this was for two new evaporative coolers to replace the coolers at Pump Plant 2. They have been routinely maintained but it came time they needed replaced.

Director Worden requested an explanation for check number 4400 for legal fees for Kuhs and Parker as it was a large bill. Mr. Neisler agreed it was an extremely high bill and explained there was a tremendous amount of activity that month which included the employment practices lawsuit trial, a lot of California WaterFix activity, and a few other things that month. Mr. Neisler stated he could provide the Board more details offline as it is not appropriate to put those details in the packet as they are not publicly disclosable. Mr. Worden suggested providing the Board (only) with more details in the future when there is a large bill like this.

Director Worden asked if check number 4406 was for annual servicing. Mr. Neisler explained that it was not; it was a normal expense for filling all the lubricant tanks every few months.

President Zanutto suggested that when the Board members receive and review the Board package, if they have any questions, they can call the office and talk to Mr. Neisler about them and then if he doesn't have all the information, there would be time to gather more details to bring to the meeting.

Director Hall made a motion to approve Item b. The motion was seconded by President Zanutto and it was carried on the following vote: Ayes: Hall, Pack, Prel, Worden, Zanutto; Noes: None; Abstain: None; Absent: None.

Item 6. General Manager's Report

Mr. Neisler reported on the following matters:

- He served on the panel at the Smart Growth Tehachapi Annual Meeting. Each panelist presented activity reports and it was very well attended. There were a lot of quality questions asked and many follow up ones that are supposed to be included in their November Newsletter.
- It is now the end of the 2018 pumping season. Everything is going well and will be discussed later in the Agenda.
- An interesting article is included that discusses the projected El Nino for this winter. There is a lot of general information that might be useful to the Board.
- The Department of Water Resources through the Metropolitan Water District has released a public funding fact sheet on funding the California WaterFix and it is included in the packet.
- Staff is on track to import approximately 10,000 acre feet of water this year. Currently it looks like there is 9,988 acre feet available so they are going to get as close to that goal as possible. He hopes the Board will give him the grace to say that's 10,000 acre feet.
- DWR reports that the repairs to the main spillway at Oroville Dam will be completed by November 1st, 2019 as scheduled. The work on the emergency spillway will continue into next year as anticipated. There are no updates on the cost.
- The lake is considerably higher than what was forecasted based on what was experienced last year with this same importation of 10,000 acre feet. This will be discussed at greater length. The imported supply will run out around the middle of November rather than at the end. This is not bad news, this will allow the system to be shut down and the mechanics will not be working over the Thanksgiving weekend.
- The cost of gas on the market is much higher than the cost staff procured so there won't be a significant cost impact to the District.
- He pointed out that the November Regular Board meeting is scheduled for November 21st which is the day before Thanksgiving. Staff is more than happy to accommodate the Board if they desire to hold the meeting on that day. Staff is also happy to reschedule at the Board's discretion to what may be a more convenient time for the Board.
- He attended a conference two weeks ago in Las Vegas called the Water Smart Innovations Conference. John Martin had previously attended the conference and highly recommended it. It was not the type of conference Mr. Neisler is used to attending. It was geared more towards the residential irrigation market. His goal in attending was to find a new conservation project for the District to embark upon. He was not successful in that goal, as many of the things discussed were items the District has already explored with varying degrees of success.
- He complimented the Operations Manager, Troy DePriest. He stated Mr. DePriest took over his position and his expectations for him were incredibly high and probably not realistic. Mr. DePriest has done more than asked and has accomplished things in the last 16 months that Mr. Neisler was not able to do in that position. Mr. Neisler feels personally and professionally blessed to have him here and overseeing operations.
- On the charts and graphs, the lake level on the lake elevation graph is going up. As of last Friday, the elevation was at 52.4 with 1,027 acre feet in the lake. Today it's 52.7 and that's about 400 acre feet

more than would be anticipated in the lake at this time. This stresses the capacities even more. Not much water is being sold right now, and the system is still operating and importing 800 acre feet per month with two engines. When the system is shut down in November and starts back up in February, staff will be importing 800 acre feet per month with no sales until very late April or very early May depending on the weather. Having available storage is needed to accommodate that operating parameter.

- The San Luis Reservoir is at 117% of historical average, both the State Water Project and the Central Valley Project are operating at full importation capacity right now, and Lake Oroville is at 60% historical average; you would expect those two (San Luis and Oroville) to track fairly close and they do not. That is DWR operation of the system.

- The precipitation graphs are included since it's the start of the new precipitation year on October 1st. There was a little more rain in central California than would be normally anticipated this time of year.

- The Cost Benefit Analysis of the WaterFix is included. It shows a return of \$1.31 per dollar spent for urban customers in the State Water project and \$1.20 per dollar spent for agriculture customers. One of the key components to coming up with those numbers was MWD's calculation of their wheeling rate for the excess capacity in the Twin Tunnels.

Director Hall stated he is aware there is a clay layer in the lake to resist water loss and he asked where in relation to the level of the lake does that clay layer end. Mr. Neisler answered that the clay layer lining is installed up to elevation 4356 and the lake is currently under that elevation; it's at 42.7 right now so it is within the lined portion.

The Directors discussed options for moving the date of the November Board meeting. President Zanutto asked if staff has any preference on what date would work better. Mr. Neisler stated staff has no preference. Director Pack suggested moving it to Monday, November 19th and all Directors agreed that would work for them. President Zanutto gave Mr. Neisler direction to change the date of the November Board meeting to November 19th.

President Zanutto and Director Worden complimented Mr. DePriest on his good work and congratulated him.

Mr. Wyman commented from the public and discussed the El Nino conditions. He also commented on an article by John Cox he read on the County downsizing groundwater management and asked if this could affect the Cummings Valley. Mr. Neisler addressed his concerns by stating John Cox is a Republican candidate for Governor and he is talking about the areas within groundwater sustainability agencies that are not covered by a GSA. First and foremost, TCCWD is exempt from SGMA. All three of the basins are adjudicated and listed as exempt from SGMA, so this concern does not impact Cummings Basin or the District. It is a legitimate concern. There are a number of places within groundwater areas that no one is claiming jurisdiction over and by default that jurisdiction falls to the counties. At the beginning of the process, Kern County supported more jurisdiction for more fees and more control. When they realized what the ramifications were for taking that responsibility, they no longer wanted anything to do with it. Overall, this will not impact the District.

Item 7. Operations Manager's Report

Mr. DePriest reported on the following matters:

- Staff has begun operating the system with two engines and it went down to 14 CFS later in September.

- Work continues in building the GIS system. Some of the staff had training on Trimble Data Collectors so field data collection can start to verify the locations on the GIS system.

- The inundation mapping studies prepared by Mead & Hunt were submitted to the DWR for review.
- He submitted a Notice of Interest (NOI) to Cal OES for Pre-Disaster and Flood Mitigation Assistance grants. These grants are funded by FEMA and administered by Cal OES. The NOI was accepted and approved by Cal OES so this gives him the opportunity to submit a sub-application for the FEMA funding. There is nothing definitive; however, if it is approved, the District could receive 75% of the \$53,502 cost to do the inundation mapping with Mead & Hunt.
- The Antelope Dam Recharge project is complete. That facility is now on the computer system so it can be monitored and adjusted from the office.
- Staff is trying to maximize groundwater recharge and is currently recharging approximately 4300 gallons per minute or 19 acre feet per day collectively.
- Some work is being done at the 19 Acre Recharge facility to increase its effectiveness.
- Dewalt Engineering from Bakersfield came out to survey Jacobsen Dam; that's an annual requirement. The District is required to report instrumentation and surveillance data on an annual basis to DWR Division of Safety of Dams.
- An inspection with the Department of Fish and Wildlife (DOFW) has been scheduled for this month as the District is required to report maintenance activities throughout the District and pay a fee for the work performed to this agency on an annual basis. The Streambed and Lake Alteration permits were extended for another 5 years. The DOFW engineer is coming to inspect the pump plant road locations that cross Tejon and Chanac Creeks.
- The October 12th Brite Lake elevation was 4,352.5 and the lake volume was 1033 acre feet.
- The pipeline department has completed the excavation and repair of the channel near the corner of Tucker and Highline due to erosion threatening to compromise the integrity of the bike path.
- Staff is preparing for off season maintenance duties. One project is installing four new heat exchangers at Pump Plant 4.
- Natural Resources Conservation Services (NRCS) came to inspect Antelope and Blackburn Dams. The pipeline department has been clearing channels and fixing access gates.
- He discussed and gave details related to the pictures included in his report.

Director Worden asked how often the surveys of the dams are done. Mr. DePriest answered that Jacobsen is done annually and there is no requirement to survey Antelope or Blackburn. There are two sets of inspections annually; the NRCS inspects Antelope and Blackburn, and the State inspects all three.

Item 8. Acknowledge Appreciation of Golden Hills CSD for Use of Their Facilities

Mr. Neisler reviewed that at the last meeting the question was asked if the District thanked Golden Hills CSD (GHCSO) for the use of their facilities. At that meeting, Mr. Neisler indicated that he had sent a letter to the Interim General Manager, Susan Wells, acknowledging appreciation and the letter is attached to the staff report. The Board directed Mr. Neisler to place this item on today's agenda for discussion.

Mr. Neisler expressed that he could not determine clear direction as to what the Board would like to do to show appreciation, so he prepared a draft letter that the Board can revise, approve and send, or there is the option of a "Thank You" card (a copy is attached to the staff report). The Board can choose either of these options or suggest something else; staff is here to accommodate whatever the Board would like.

The Directors reviewed Mr. Neisler's letter and discussion took place between them with suggestions of publishing in the paper or presenting at Golden Hill's next Board meeting. Suggestions were also made from staff and the public. It was reviewed that the District offered to compensate GHCSO, but they were not interested in receiving payment. Discussion took place as to whether it was sufficient to have sent a

letter to the General Manager or if it should have been directed to the Board members as well. After continued debating, President Zanutto addressed Ms. Cassil who was in the audience and is a Board member for Golden Hills CSD. He asked if she recalls the General Manager (GHCS D) going to the Board for permission to let TCCWD use the facility. Ms. Cassil stated she had no recollection of that and went on to clarify that this would be consistent of other parties inquiring of the General Manager if they could use the facility. The policy of the GHCS D Board was that the General Manager would handle groups desiring to use the Board room and therefore the fact it was not requested of the Board should not reflect negatively on the General Manager. Ms. Cassil added that the GHCS D Board was given an opportunity to read Mr. Neisler's original letter so indirectly the Board has been made aware of the District's appreciation.

The Directors thanked Ms. Cassil for her information and found it very helpful. They resolved that the appreciation was adequately addressed by Mr. Neisler's original letter and no further action was necessary.

Item 9. Adopt Resolution 15-18 Amending Conflict of Interest Code

Mr. Neisler described that every two years local agencies are required to review their Conflict of Interest Code and file a report with the County. Legal counsel has reviewed the existing Code with respect to the required changes to the regulations and recommended a few grammatical changes. The main change is the offices that are required to report conflicts; the Assistant General Manager position was revised to the Operations Manager position. These changes are to comply with current state law. Legal counsel is available by phone if there are questions that the General Manager cannot answer. Attachment A is the Biennial Notice, Attachment B is the Conflict of Interest Code with the marked revisions, and Attachment C is proposed Resolution 15-18.

President Zanutto moved to approve Resolution 15-18 Amending the Conflict of Interest Code. The motion was seconded by Director Pack and it was carried on the following vote: Ayes: Hall, Pack, Prel, Worden, Zanutto; Noes: None; Abstain: None; Absent: None.

Item 10. Report on 2018 System Operations

Mr. Neisler discussed Attachment A, a chart that shows the system operations comparing 2016 Actual, 2017 Actual, 2018 YTD, and End of Year (EOY) 2018 Estimate. The following items were discussed:

- In 2018, the State Water Project allocation was 35% even though this has been a better than 80% precipitation year. The District needs to receive about 53% of the Table A allocation in order to import 10,000 acre feet. Importing 10,000 is a goal, it is not a necessity to meet customer demand.
- The plan is to import 10,000 acre feet and operate the system for 10 months; start in February and stop in November.
- There was a deviation in Article 21 deliveries this year. In times of multiple high flow storms, DWR has more water available than they can sell under their normal allocation system, and they designate that water to be sold under Article 21. This is part of the State Water Project contracts and Article 21 water is high flow, short duration water that is sold at a very low cost; in this case its \$35 per acre foot. They ask if anyone is interesting in purchasing water and you place your order. TCCWD ordered over 3,000 acre feet of that Article 21 water, and in August staff was notified that the District was not credited or billed for 3,000 acre feet of water. The District was credited and received 1,648 acre feet of water so that is a direct shortfall in supply from what the estimates for the year were based on. This caused staff to search for additional supply to meet the 10,000 acre feet importation target. Because there were additional sources of water in 2017, the District banked a lot of water in the Kern Water Bank under several contracts with ID4. The District had originally intended to withdraw 2,450 acre feet of that banked supply. The transfer was applied for in the spring, and the agency approved

that transfer. Mr. Neisler called and requested that withdrawal be increased to 3,150 acre feet. The agency gave verbal authorization to do that. When the agency finally balanced their books for 2017, with what the District had carried over in San Luis, the 2018 Table A allocation, and the 3,150 acre feet that was withdrawn, the District has a supply available of 9,988 acre feet.

- Team TCCWD has exceeded Mr. Neisler's expectations in terms of performance. This availability is over 99% and will allow the District to stop pumping two weeks early.
- Every year, the District pumps water out of Tehachapi Basin into the District's system to sell to wheeled water customers. Wheeled water customers are people who own water rights in Tehachapi Basin but do not have the means or desire to pump it themselves. In turn, they pay the District to pump it and the customer pulls the water off the system and pays for that privilege. The cost to the consumer is \$170 per acre foot as opposed to \$436 per acre foot for imported water. In exchange for that, they give the District the water rights for that year.
- Under the TCCWD Pumped section, the first line, District Wells, shows in 2016 that the District pumped 2,800 acre feet of water out of the wells. Only 1,514 acre feet of wheeled water was sold that year, but the other 1,300 acre feet needed to be pumped to meet demand because there wasn't enough supply on the surface. District Wells in 2017 shows 837 acre feet pumped and 1,276 acre feet were sold. So, the District sold 400 less acre feet than was pumped and the demand was met from imported water. It's a benefit to the District because that 400 acre feet stays in the ground and doesn't have to be recharged or extracted or pumped into the system. So far this year, 694 acre feet of water has been pumped out of the District wells. The District is on track to sell 1,456 acre feet this year, so that's 750 acre feet of wheeled water that came from surface supplies and hasn't had to be pumped out of the ground. This has saved the District money in pumping costs and saved banked supplied in Tehachapi Basin. The pumping costs are around \$100 per acre foot so in the last two years the District has been able to save around \$123,000.
- Another source of water the District has is water that others pump into the system. There are agreements in place with the City of Tehachapi for the Snyder well, with Golden Hills for the Iriart well, with Stallion Springs, and with Bear Valley where if they have a need to flush wells, they pump the water into the District system and the District pays them \$100 per acre foot for the water. This year, the amount of water that has been pumped into the system by others is three times what it has been in the past. These totals are listed under TCCWD Pumped section of Attachment A.
- In the Regular M&I section, staff estimated 50 acre feet of sales and 92 acre feet has been sold to date. The wind farms and the Walmart construction project are purchasing water and this has caused Regular M&I sales to about double what was anticipated for the year but that is not a problem. This water is sold for \$1,385 per acre foot and the actual cost to the District is over \$1,900. Previously the District has chosen not to change that rate because the sales were minimal and the revenue that would be received wouldn't be worth the cost of going through the Prop 218 election to change the rate. So that is something to discuss next year when water rates are discussed.
- Term M&I sales are tracking very closely with what was projected for the year.
- Ag sales are looking to be about 400 acre feet less than what was forecast. Most of that is in Cummings Valley; the projected Ag sales for this year are around 3,854 acre feet as opposed to over 4,000 for the last two years. The reason for that is the largest agriculture customer has taken over additional acreage this year and the way that they irrigate is different than the previous customer. The previous customer grew baby vegetables; they would try to get 5 week crop cycles so the irrigation was constant to try to get 5 crops a year. The other customer who has taken over those fields has a sophisticated irrigation system and has a different planting scheme.
- Wheeled water is right on track with what was projected.
- There will be more water recharged this year than last year. The District recharged over 4,000 acre feet of water this year. The lake has around 400 acre feet more water in it right now than it should and staff is working to bring that level down by the end of the year. The consequence of not drawing

the lake down is that there won't be enough storage volume to hold the water being pumped during the months when customers are not purchasing water yet. Pumping will begin in February and customers usually do not start purchasing water until end of April or the beginning of May. The recharges are being run wide open right now, the consequence of that is they usually get to rest and do maintenance and clean up. This is where the District needs more recharge area.

- Overall, the District is meeting its projections, and staff is learning where the variables are in these equations because so many factors are unpredictable. The Article 21 issue came as a surprise and there are no indicators; the bill won't even come until January of 2019.

Director Hall asked questions about the water that is pumped into the District's system when it is unacceptable to other districts. Mr. Neisler answered that it is used like all other water and is sold and recharged. He reminded everyone that the District's water is all non-potable. As the water is extracted through wells, it gets filtered through the soil. Other agencies sell potable water and have stricter guidelines for contaminants.

President Zanutto commented that this was a very good report.

Item 11. Authorize General Manager to Execute Water Tank Maintenance Contract

Mr. DePriest stated that Superior Tank Solutions has submitted a proposal to provide a water tank maintenance program that will include scheduled cleaning, washout, inspection, repair, preventative maintenance, and interior and exterior coating for the eight District water storage tanks for a period of eleven years. He described the location and size of each tank listed in the staff report.

The purpose of the program is to restore the tanks to their original structural integrity and maintain the condition of the tanks throughout their useful life. The two potable water tanks are required by the State Water Resources Control Board to perform washouts and visual inspections on a periodic basis (3-5 years).

In 2012, the Board hired Superior Tank Solutions to inspect the tanks and provide a comprehensive inspection report (included in the Board packet). At that time, the report was for the six non-potable water storage tanks and explained the conditions of the tanks. In August 2018, Mr. DePriest sent out invitations to five contractors to provide estimates. He received estimates from Suez Advanced Solutions and Superior Tank Solutions. Suez performed a visual inspection of the tanks but did not provide a detailed estimate. He discussed the other contractors who he reached out to for estimates but who were unable to provide one.

Attachment B has a comparison of the estimates provided by Superior and Suez. Staff recommends to the Board to authorize the General Manager to enter into an agreement with Superior Tank Solutions even though their estimate is higher. The first reason is that they feel Superior's estimate is more comprehensive and in line with the actual costs incurred to maintain the integrity of the tanks since they were able to do a thorough inspection of the tanks. Superior's main production facility is strategically located in Southern California and they have a facility in Bakersfield. They are a full-service contractor with professional service tank repair, coating and tank management, and they have extensive design and engineering services available. For fiscal year 2018-2019, the capital expenditure budget that was approved by the Board was \$165,000 for tank maintenance and repair. The estimated cost for an ongoing multi-year Asset Management Program for all 8 tanks is the following: Suez \$1,025,599.00 for 10 years, and Superior \$1,415,330.00 for 11 years (includes a more extensive repair scope). Staff recommends the Board approve a contract with Superior Tank Solutions.

The Directors asked questions about specific repairs to some of the tanks and Mr. DePriest explained the process. He stated there is a spreadsheet at the back of Superior's proposal that lists all the tanks and the cost per year over the 11-year period. President Zanutto asked if the \$165,000 was enough to get started this year. Mr. DePriest responded that the \$165,000 will do Campground East, the washout, and Pump Plant 4 bolted tank East that is in the worst condition, all for \$163,250.00.

Director Pack commented that he likes Superior Tank Solutions and has seen their work. Director Worden asked if there has ever been a maintenance package like this before. Staff answered that there has not been one and it is overdue. Director Worden asked if this situation is possibly one where it would be more beneficial to replace the tanks rather than refurbish them. Mr. DePriest explained that it would be a lot more expensive to replace the tanks; in some cases 3 times as much money for a new tank. The refurbishing process will bring the tanks to like-new condition. Mr. Neisler added that this program is also insurance; the contractor is buying the maintenance for the District's tanks. If there are defects that occur in coatings or corrosion, they repair those under this fixed cost system. He also discussed the complications that would take place because there is not room to place a new tank adjacent to the old tank; the old would need torn down and replaced in the same spot. It would be complicated and costly to install new tanks on the same footprint as the old tanks due to many changes since the 1970s when those tanks were built. Director Worden appreciated their response and full investigation of the options for the District.

Director Hall stated that Superior is claiming to bring the tanks back to like-new conditions and asked what the assurances are of that happening. Mr. DePriest explained that he will inspect the tanks when they are completed with the work and he can make some provisions in the contract for that potential problem. Mr. Neisler added that there are standards that have to be met for these tanks so Superior will have to bring them up to that condition to meet the standards. Mr. DePriest said they inspect the thickness of the steel, and the thickness of the coatings and everything has to be brought up to meet AWWA and ASTM standards.

Director Hall moved that the Board accept the proposal from Superior Tank Solutions for a comprehensive Tank Asset Management Program. Director Pack seconded the motion and it was carried on the following vote: Ayes: Hall, Pack, Prel, Worden, Zanutto; Noes: None; Abstain: None; Absent: None.

Director Hall moved that the Board authorize the General Manager to enter into a contract with Superior Tank Solutions for a comprehensive Tank Asset Management Program. The cost is estimated to be \$1,415,330.00 over an 11-year period. The cost for FY 2018-2019 is not to exceed the budgeted amount of \$165,000.00 allocated for water tank maintenance and the tanks will meet all applicable standards for the life of the contract. Director Worden seconded the motion and it was carried on the following vote: Ayes: Hall, Pack, Prel, Worden, Zanutto; Noes: None; Abstain: None; Absent: None.

At 5:35 p.m., Mr. Neisler asked the Board for permission to excuse Ms. Adams for the evening and take over as Board Secretary for the remainder of the meeting to note any reportable action from Closed Session and adjourn the meeting. The Board granted permission and Mr. Neisler and Ms. Adams thanked the Board for their accommodation.

Item 12. Adjourn to Closed Session

President to reference Closed Session items as presented on Agenda, then Board to adjourn to Closed Session

- a. In Accordance with Exhibit A Attached Hereto, DWR v. All Persons Interested in Authorization of WaterFix Revenue Bonds et al.
- b. In Accordance with Exhibit B Attached Hereto, Kern County Superior Court Case 97209, Tehachapi-Cummings County Water District, a Body Corporate and politic vs. Frank Armstrong et al.
- c. In Accordance with Exhibit C Attached Hereto, Dennis Carpenter v. Richard M. Jacobson, et al.

The Board went into Closed Session at 5:37 p.m.

Item 13. Return to Open Session

The Board returned to Open Session at 6:43 p.m.

Report Action Taken in Closed Session:

- a. No reportable action.
- b. No reportable action.
- c. No reportable action.

Item 14. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 6:44 p.m. on a motion made by Director Hall, seconded by Director Pack and unanimously carried. Ayes: Hall, Pack, Prel, Worden, Zanutto; Noes: None; Abstain: None; Absent: None.


Richard Zanutto, Board President


Catherine Adams, Board Secretary

TEHACHAPI-CUMMINGS COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

CLOSED SESSION ITEM DESCRIPTIONS

(Gov. Code § 54954.5)

A. CONFERENCE WITH REAL PROPERTY NEGOTIATOR (Gov. Code, § 54956.8.)

Description of Property: _____

Negotiating Parties: _____

Subject of Conference: _____

B. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL (Gov. Code, § 54956.9.)

1. Existing Litigation: _____
Name of Case: DWR v. All Persons Interested in Authorization of WaterFix Revenue Bonds et al.

2. Anticipated Litigation:
Gov. Code § 54956.9 (b): _____
Gov. Code § 54956.9 (c): _____

C. PUBLIC EMPLOYEES (Gov. Code, § 54957.)

1. Appointment: _____
Title: _____

2. Employment: _____
Title: _____

3. Performance Evaluation: _____
Title: _____

4. Discipline/Dismissal/Release: _____

D. CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATOR (Gov. Code, § 54957.6.)

Agency Negotiator: _____

Employee Organization: _____

Unrepresented Employee: _____

TEHACHAPI-CUMMINGS COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

CLOSED SESSION ITEM DESCRIPTIONS

(Gov. Code § 54954.5)

A. CONFERENCE WITH REAL PROPERTY NEGOTIATOR (Gov. Code, § 54956.8.)

Description of Property: _____

Negotiating Parties: _____

Subject of Conference: _____

B. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL (Gov. Code, § 54956.9.)

1. Existing Litigation: Case No. 97209
Name of Case: Tehachapi-Cummings County Water District
a Body Corporate and politic, vs. Frank Armstrong et. al.

2. Anticipated Litigation: _____
Gov. Code § 54956.9 (b): _____
Gov. Code § 54956.9 (c): _____

C. PUBLIC EMPLOYEES (Gov. Code, § 54957.)

1. Appointment: _____
Title: _____

2. Employment: _____
Title: _____

3. Performance Evaluation: _____
Title: _____

4. Discipline/Dismissal/Release: _____

D. CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATOR (Gov. Code, § 54957.6.)

Agency Negotiator: _____

Employee Organization: Not applicable

Unrepresented Employee: _____

TEHACHAPI-CUMMINGS COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

CLOSED SESSION ITEM DESCRIPTIONS

(Gov. Code § 54954.5)

A. CONFERENCE WITH REAL PROPERTY NEGOTIATOR (Gov. Code, § 54956.8.)

Description of Property: _____

Negotiating Parties: _____

Subject of Conference: _____

B. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL (Gov. Code, § 54956.9.)

1. Existing Litigation: Case No. Case No. BCV-18-101834
Name of Case: *Dennis Carpenter v. Richard M. Jacobson, et al.*
2. Anticipated Litigation: _____
Gov. Code § 54956.9 (b): _____
Gov. Code § 54956.9 (c): _____

C. PUBLIC EMPLOYEES (Gov. Code, § 54957.)

1. Appointment: _____
Title: _____
2. Employment: _____
Title: _____
3. Performance Evaluation: _____
Title: _____
4. Discipline/Dismissal/Release: _____

D. CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATOR (Gov. Code, § 54957.6.)

Agency Negotiator: _____
Employee Organization: Not applicable
Unrepresented Employee: _____